IAC Ch 4, p.1

## 685—4.7 (17A) Presiding officer.

**4.7(1)** Any party who wishes to request that the presiding officer assigned to render a proposed decision be an administrative law judge employed by the department of inspections and appeals must file a written request within 20 days after service of a notice of hearing which identifies or describes the presiding officer as the state archaeologist or the designee of the state archaeologist.

- **4.7(2)** The state archaeologist may deny the request only upon a finding that one or more of the following apply:
- a. There is a compelling need to expedite issuance of a final decision in order to protect the public health, safety, or welfare.
  - b. An administrative law judge is unavailable to hear the case within a reasonable time.
- *c*. The case involves significant policy issues of first impression that are inextricably intertwined with the factual issues presented.
- d. The demeanor of the witnesses is likely to be dispositive in resolving the disputed factual issues.
- e. Funds are unavailable to pay the costs of an administrative law judge and an interdivision appeal.
  - f. The request was not timely filed.
  - g. The request is not consistent with a specified statute.
- **4.7(3)** The state archaeologist shall issue a written ruling specifying the grounds for the decision within 20 days after a request for an administrative law judge is filed.
- **4.7(4)** Except as provided otherwise by another provision of law, all rulings by an administrative law judge acting as presiding officer are subject to appeal to the state archaeologist. A party must seek any available intra-agency appeal in order to exhaust adequate administrative remedies.
- **4.7(5)** Unless otherwise provided by law, the state archaeologist, when reviewing a proposed decision upon intra-agency appeal, shall have the powers of and shall comply with the provisions of this chapter which apply to presiding officers.

[ARC 9025B, IAB 8/25/10, effective 9/29/10]